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Abstract

In recent years, operating systems have become increasingly complex and thus more prone to security and performance issues. Accordingly, system updates to address these issues have become more frequently available and increasingly important. To complete such updates, users must reboot their systems, resulting in unavoidable downtime and further loss of the states of running applications.

We present KUP, a practical OS update mechanism that employs a userspace checkpoint-and-restart mechanism, which uses an optimized data structure for checkpointing on disk as well as a memory persistence mechanism across the update, coupled with a fast in-place kernel switch. This allows for instant kernel updates spanning across major kernel versions without any kernel modifications.

Our evaluation shows that KUP can support any type of real kernel patches (e.g., security, minor or even major releases) with large-scale applications that include memcached, mysql, or in the middle of the Linux kernel compilation, unlike well-known dynamic hot-patching techniques (e.g., ksplice). Not only that, KUP can update a running Linux kernel in 3 seconds (overall downtime) without losing 32 GB of memcached data from kernel version v3.17-rc7 to v4.1.

1 Introduction

Today, computer users routinely update their operating systems either to patch security vulnerabilities, fix bugs, or add a new set of features to the existing system. Unfortunately, to fully incorporate a new update, users have no choice but to restart their systems, which results in the loss of the running states of applications. This unavoidable disruption not only brings inconvenience to end users, but also causes an adverse financial impact on business. For example, updating a memcached [57] server at Facebook that caches around 120 GB data in 144 GB RAM [24] requires a prolonged warm-up phase of 90-100 minutes [30]. The more critical problem is when a system update fails. Such failure often leads to additional downtime or maintenance costs thereafter, resulting in an immediate loss of active customers [20, 21]. Still, even with the unavoidable disruption and risks involved in update failures, system updates are necessary to promptly mitigate the known security issues and resolve critical bugs that might hurt the correctness of operations [8, 25, 44, 68, 71].

To solve these problems, two large sets of techniques are used in practice: dynamic hot-patching and rolling updates. Dynamic hot-patching (or live update) [4, 67, 73] directly applies patches to the running kernel. As a result, system updates can be performed without incurring application downtime. However, dynamic hot-patching is inherently limited to patches that do not semantically modify data structures. Therefore, it is commonly used to apply simple security patches that contain minor code changes. For instance, kpatch [67], a popular dynamic update tool developed by Red Hat, was able to support 2 out of 23 minor updates over a year of Ubuntu’s kernel releases (see Figure 1).

Rolling updates [20, 60] are another viable technique for large-scale systems. In rolling updates, system administrators apply an update to a small group of machines; if there is no failure, they apply the same update to the rest of the machines in the data center. This helps administrators minimize the risk of update failures and system downtime. However, this process requires careful planning to minimize the disruption of running services due to the inevitable downtime of applications.

There are several research projects that attempt to solve the OS update problem either by proposing new OS construction [5, 7, 23, 65, 80], or by providing a transfer function for system updates [28]. In order to keep their internal states switchable, such OSes require radical design changes, thereby making these modifications impractical for the commodity OSes [19, 69].

To address these issues, we present KUP—a new update mechanism that allows for prompt kernel updates without modifying a commodity OS. KUP incorporates application checkpoint-and-restart (C/R) for saving and restoring the application states before and after the update,
and an in-place kernel switch to quickly boot into a new kernel. This allows KUP to minimize the downtime of running applications during the system update. Unlike dynamic hot-patching mechanisms, KUP can perform a full system update regardless of the complexity of updates, and thus can support a broader range of system updates, including security and bug fixes, the addition of new features, and even major kernel upgrades. To address update failures, KUP provides a safe fallback mechanism that provides a mechanism to restore the original system, checkpointed right before the update.

KUP works by leveraging the OS provided infrastructure such as procfs, ptrace system call [37] for obtaining information during the checkpoint of an application, and process forking (clone, fork) mechanism along with other system calls [15, 37] and netlink sockets to restore the application after update. To enable an efficient, userspace-based C/R of an application during an update, we design an efficient checkpointing storage format that improves both the checkpoint size and KUP’s performance. However, the aforementioned solution of KUP still ends up storing RAM data on the disk, which is not suitable for disk-less systems [30, 57].\footnote{The memcached servers at Facebook are disk-less and they keep everything in memory.} We further extend KUP and make it generic enough to support disk-less systems by proposing a memory persistence mechanism, which we implement via binary patching and dynamic OS instrumentation. This approach is complementary to our userspace solution.

This paper makes the following three contributions:

- We design a simple, yet robust update mechanism by using application C/R, and implement an open source prototype of KUP.
- We show KUP’s effectiveness by providing an in-depth analysis of the proposed techniques with real applications, micro-benchmarks and full software stack representing generic data center application.
- We devise, implement and evaluate a safe fallback mechanism for KUP that can be easily realized through application C/R and an in-place kernel switch.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. §2 compares KUP with previous work. §3 gives the high-level ideas of KUP’s approach to instant kernel update. §4 points out the challenges and §5 describes our design. §6 explains our implementation and §7 evaluates KUP’s performance. Lastly, §8 discusses its limitations and potential optimizations and §9 concludes.

2 Related work

In this section, we compare KUP’s approach to previous studies in four areas: dynamic hot-patching, new OS designs, live migration, and application C/R for updates.

Dynamic hot-patching. Industries rely on solutions such as Ksplice [4], kpatch [67], and Kgraft [73] to promptly mitigate the security vulnerabilities without any significant outage of their production systems. However, as shown in Figure 1, the hot-patching techniques have critical limitations, especially in handling data layout changes, thus making it impossible to guarantee the safety of live updates. Previous studies have proposed dynamic software update schemes on event-driven systems [1, 27, 28, 36], object oriented languages [39, 63] and even C language [4, 35, 53, 54, 56] including formal proofs [34, 55, 72].

However, unlike previous update techniques, KUP relies on a whole kernel switch to apply any kind of patch, regardless of its complexity. KUP allows for system-wide live updates without modifying programs or tracking their state changes, unlike previous works [19, 35].

New operating system designs for live update. Another approach is to design a new OS with well-defined abstractions between interfaces and implementations so that each component can be replaced online without disruption. Representative examples include Microkernel (e.g., exokernel [23], K42 [5, 7, 70], Barrelfish [6, 80]) and LibOS (e.g., Drawbridge [65]). In particular, Proteos [28] based on MINIX 3, and a Linux variant [69], are designed to update their components online and also transform internal data structures to adopt the updated kernel. Unfortunately, with a large amount of code changes (e.g., new features), constructing the state transfer functions either requires manual effort or is infeasible in many cases [5, 7, 11, 28, 69, 70].

Autopod [66] and the work by Siniavine et al. [69] are closest to KUP. Autopod uses a namespace mechanism for process decoupling and migrating applications across machines, whereas Siniavine et al. provides a kernel space C/R mechanism coupled with an in-place kernel switch to update the OS, and employs techniques similar to those of Otherworld [19]. The work by Siniavine et al. heavily modifies various kernel subcomponents to checkpoint and restore the applications entirely in the kernel space without any user intervention. In contrast, Otherworld tries to recover applications from the kernel failures by transferring them to the new kernel via an in-place kernel switch.

Unlike these studies, KUP achieves its goal of instantly updating the systems via userspace C/R and an optimized in-place kernel switch without modifying the kernel source. KUP faces a different set of challenges, such as (a) how to efficiently checkpoint storage data structure for
effectively using both checkpoint and restore techniques, and (b) how to implicitly modify the kernel functionality to remove the redundant memory copy phase from the application C/R, which we do via a binary patching mechanism. KUP leverages the kernel-exposed userspace information to extract the kernel-resident data-structures’ data via procfs, netlink sockets and system calls [15]. This makes the process checkpointing independent of both the kernel update and the checkpoint format.

**Application C/R.** The area of application C/R has been explored in various contexts: object-oriented languages [50, 77], single process [61, 64], multiple processes [2, 49], even across multiple computers in a distributed environment [43, 79]. It has also been explored solely for an optimized restoration scheme [81]. In practice, application C/R has been used for bootstrapping system startup [51], achieving fault-tolerance and performance in HPC [26, 33], debugging [61, 77], and even for recovering system integrity [45–47].

Unlike these approaches, KUP can retrofit the known application C/R scheme for live updates. Currently, it relies on criu [22] for application C/R because of its mature code base and stable checkpointing mechanism without modifying the kernel. General application C/R approaches focus on reducing the quiescence period, but KUP suffers from a memory snapshotting bottleneck, since the kernel provides the quiescence mechanism via the ptrace system call [37] for KUP to leverage. KUP uses incremental checkpoint [64] and on-demand restore [58] to reduce the downtime during application C/R. Unlike libckpt [64], KUP does not require any modifications to applications and uses a new file format to effectively merge both techniques.

**Live update with virtual machine migration.** The internal data structures of operating systems can be captured by providing underlying abstractions such as virtual machine [12, 38] or namespace containers [41, 48]. Microvisor [52] allows for both live update and rolling update of host and guest operating systems by migrating a suspended virtual machine to another host before proceeding with an update. On the other hand, ShadowReboot [78] updates the guest OS by forking and restoring the snapshot of the old guest state on the same host.

Unlike these approaches, KUP directly enables the in-place live update of an OS without relying on a separate host. This allows KUP to be easily used on clusters with heterogeneous hardware, where live migration is problematic due to the incompatibility of CPUs and devices.

**3 KUP Life Cycle**

KUP’s goal is to update an OS (e.g., kernel and system services) by immediately applying patches without incurring observable downtime. KUP achieves this goal by first preserving the states of running applications and then restoring their previous states on the updated OS. This will allow administrators to update systems with minimal downtime. Importantly, this update procedure can benefit end users, by allowing for frequent updates not only for immediate security fixes, but also for less critical changes, such as performance improvements and the incorporation of new functionality (e.g., new I/O scheduling policy and also software rejuvenation at the OS level [40]).

Conceptually, KUP’s update procedure consists of three steps. It first checkpoints the running applications, then switches to the updated OS, and finally restores the suspended applications. This section describes KUP’s update procedure followed by a scenario enabled by KUP (i.e., safe fallback). Figure 2 gives a holistic overview of KUP’s update cycle for applications.

**Checkpointing applications.** Before updating the OS, KUP takes a snapshot of running applications. This snapshot is made up of process states, consisting of their memory space (e.g., code/data sections and stack/heap, etc.) and their internal states in the kernel, including for example the states of sockets, etc. (① checkpointing in Figure 2). The snapshot is then stored in a persistent stor-
Switching kernel. After checkpointing, KUP first loads the new kernel binary to its memory. Then, it switches its running kernel (old version) to the new version that was just loaded (② switching kernel). The new kernel quickly boots up by skipping most of the hardware initialization routine (such as slow BIOS and POST) as the devices and peripherals were already initialized (①). The system management daemon relaunches the required system services after the kernel initialization ③. KUP never checkpoints the system services, since they are already maintained by the system management daemon.

Restoring applications. After running the newly updated kernel along with its system services, KUP starts the process of restoring the user’s applications that were suspended before the update. It reconstructs the process-specific states inside the kernel and then restarts the application (⑥ restoring).

The application C/R and kernel switch not only allow for a seamless kernel update, but also facilitate a mechanism to safely fall back to the previous kernel in case of an update failure.

Safe fallback. KUP also supports safe fallback, a mechanism that allows for automatic fallback to the previous OS state. With this mechanism, KUP tries to preserves the availability of the older kernel to tackle failure cases introduced by a buggy updated kernel as well as the liveness of the applications.

4 Challenges

The existing general C/R tools can efficiently checkpoint and resurrect applications provided by the kernel-exposed userspace information due to the inclusion of a namespace-based container mechanism [14, 32, 41]. However, they suffer from two well known issues: quiescence and memory checkpointing. Quiescence is a time span in which all the kernel-resident data structures and the states of threads at the kernel and user levels are consistent with the checkpointed image. These both lead to application downtime during C/R. In our experiments, the quiescence time period constitutes only 0.01%–2% of the total downtime while updating the OS with KUP, whereas the major downtime occurs because of the memory dump (95%–99%). Hence, we focus on understanding the impact of the memory dump during the update as well as the inherent limitation of the userspace C/R from an OS update perspective. Later, we will briefly discuss how KUP achieves quiescent state in §6 and its contribution to the downtime.

Memory serialization for application C/R. We will first give a brief background of the existing state-of-the-art C/R techniques which KUP use:

• Incremental checkpointing. Checkpointing the memory of processes imposes significant downtime when using existing userspace application C/R tools. The downtime will be unacceptable for applications like memcached, since for these it is preferable for the application’s data to be persistent and usable after an update. To resolve this issue, the C/R component of KUP implements incremental checkpointing. This idea itself is not entirely new [12, 64], but it reshapes this as an application-agnostic userspace C/R mechanism: it takes multiple asynchronous snapshots of the process’s memory, followed by a synchronous one. This leads to minimal downtime. KUP only suspends the process in the last iteration, because of the minimal checkpointed data in the last asynchronous iteration.

• On-demand restore. The naive restore mechanism of the existing C/R tools imposes equivalent downtime, similar to the naive checkpoint mechanism. For example, sequentially reading the checkpointed data from the disk imposes an unacceptable downtime for the memory intensive applications, like memcached, during the restore phase. To resolve this issue, the C/R component of KUP starts the process without loading its entire memory contents, instead it reloads them on-demand when the process tries to access a particular memory address. This accelerates the process restart, hence decreasing the application’s downtime during restore.

However, the coupling of both on-demand restore and incremental checkpoint degrades the overall performance after an update. In particular, this coupling suffers from two problems: (1) the overhead of finding a corresponding file page when a page fault occurs in a checkpointed snapshot image, and (2) the overhead incurred by mmap() when binding each reloaded page in userspace. Note that performance and scalability problems of mmap operations in the Linux kernel are well known [13].

Eliminating redundant memory copy. Even though the merging of the aforementioned C/R techniques speeds up the C/R process, it copies the memory twice between the kernel and the user space. Furthermore, the backend storage, which keeps the snapshot persistent across system updates, becomes the bottleneck for disk-less systems. For example, it is not possible to update Facebook’s disk-less memcached servers, since they cache 120 GB of data in memory and do not have any storage medium available for the warm-up phase.

5 KUP Design

KUP’s design goals are to (1) instantly update a running kernel, (2) avoid any observable downtime, (3) ensure no kernel modification, and (4) supporting all types of
patches, unlike previous approaches [19, 69]. To realize these goals while addressing the aforementioned challenges, we first present a new data structure called FOAM to efficiently serialize memory for both C/R techniques (§5.1). Then we present another optimization technique, called persistent physical pages (PPP), to further decrease the downtime during kernel update (§5.2). Later, we introduce KUP’s safe fallback mechanism.

5.1 Userspace Application C/R

By using incremental checkpoint, the C/R component of KUP suffers from slower restoration than the naive checkpointing. This results in slower application restart due to its extra work in maintaining a sequence of images taken during the incremental checkpoint. Moreover, the performance further degrades when the incremental checkpointing is combined with on-demand restore. For example, depending on the application’s writable working set, memory address ranges (e.g., dirty pages) as well as their respective metadata (e.g., previous page and address region) get fragmented and scattered across multiple checkpointed dumps (files). Hence, the C/R component needs to install a huge number of mappings to backup each page by the checkpointed dump in the restore phase. This imposes non-negligible overhead for enabling the on-demand restore with the incremental checkpoint, and also results in lots of context switches while installing the mappings at the page granularity.

To solve this problem, we design a simple yet effective data structure called file offset-based address mapping (FOAM), for quickly restoring the processes’ pages from the checkpointed images. FOAM uses a direct one-to-one mapping between the process address and file offset in a sparse file. Due to this, KUP avoids the maintenance cost of file indexes and fine-grained pages. Instead, KUP can bind the checkpointed image to the whole address space.

We prepare this sparse file large enough to represent 64-bit virtual address spaces (2^68 - 1 = 128 TB excluding kernel and canonical address spaces). We leverage the concept of holes, which all modern filesystems support, such that a single file is large enough to express whole virtual address spaces (e.g., 16 EB support by xfs and btrfs)\(^2\) while never occupying such a huge amount of physical storage spaces underneath.

FOAM’s approach brings three advantages to KUP for userspace-based OS update: (1) it eliminates the overhead to maintain metadata by directly mapping process address space to the file offset (e.g., mapping virtual memory region at page granularity); (2) it resolves the data fragmentation issue from the incremental checkpointing (e.g., new snapshot might contain a newly updated memory region if its size is changed from its previous snapshot); (3) it simplifies the design to enable the on-demand restore (e.g., mapping a single file to the entire process space). With FOAM, KUP can leverage the incremental checkpoint and on-demand restore together, thereby reducing the downtime caused by application C/R.

5.2 Reusing Persisted Memory Across Update

Even though the FOAM approach is able to decrease the downtime experienced by application by using state-of-the-art C/R techniques, it still suffers from redundant copying of the process’s memory before and after the update. Also, there should be sufficient space to save the checkpointed data. To thwart these problems, KUP introduces a new mechanism called persistent physical pages (PPP). PPP removes the redundant copying of the memory from the kernel to the userspace and vice-versa. Instead, it preserves the processes’ memory across the system update.

PPP first saves the virtual address of a process and its corresponding physical mapping at the page granularity. Then, while booting the new kernel, KUP reserves the mapping information and the corresponding pages to forbid their usage. Later, KUP relies on the page fault handler to rebind the pages with the restoring application in an on-demand fashion. This allows KUP to reuse the same physical pages at the time of restoration after the update. This technique is effective in two ways: (1) it avoids redundant copies of process’ memory during application C/R, rather preserving them without extra overhead; (2) it allows for an instant, page-level on-demand restoration of a process. Unlike any application C/R, PPP does not degrade the performance of the restored process, as it quickly rebinds the physical page upon a page fault.

Currently, the kernel does not provide any functionality to completely implement PPP in the userspace. The previous work [69] has heavily modified multiple kernel subcomponents to achieve it entirely in the kernel space. On the contrary, keeping KUP’s design goals in mind, we instead hook the kernel’s memory management unit with the binary patching mechanisms, such that PPP is easily applicable to the current commodity OSes.

To implement PPP, KUP needs to reserve the set of pages as well as the virtual-to-physical mapping information after the kernel update and then handle the page faults for the restoring process. Since both steps are only possible in the kernel space, we use static binary instrumentation for injecting the code in the kernel binary image and dynamic kernel instrumentation to hook the page fault handling functionality. Next, we describe binary patching in detail, and later the steps to perform PPP.

Binary patching. For memory reservation of pages and their mappings, KUP performs binary patching on the new kernel binary in which the system will boot into. Before starting the checkpointing process, KUP first does the
PPP in action. KUP enables PPP with the following five concrete steps:

(1) Before checkpointing, KUP performs binary patching on the new kernel image.
(2) During checkpointing, the virtual-to-physical mapping of the targeted application is passed to a kernel module that reserves a memory space where the per-process page mapping information is saved.
(3) During new kernel’s reboot, with the binary patched module, KUP globally reserves the set of per-process requested pages passed on from the previous kernel.
(4) Before application restoration, KUP patches the page fault handler with its own version to specially handle the page faults from the restored application.
(5) During restore, KUP only restores the states of the process except the memory pages and then restarts the application.

Steps (1), (2), and (4) implicitly hook the kernel. In step (1), we patch the new kernel image with our memory reservation code. In step (2), we use a kernel module for saving the per-process mapping information passed to it during the checkpoint phase. In step (4), KUP dynamically patches the page fault handler to specially consider the page faults from the restored process. After handling all the page faults, KUP falls back to the original function, thereby not imposing any overhead after the restoration.

By using PPP, KUP can dramatically reduce the total downtime with respect to the application C/R. Unlike dynamic hot-patching, PPP is applicable across multiple kernel updates, since it does not modify any in-kernel data structures. Instead PPP leverages the stable in-kernel functionality for the memory reservation and page fault handling. PPP does not introduce any security issues as the superuser is only responsible for the whole update procedure. Further, PPP’s approach inherently adopts on-demand restore, as it naturally rebinds the faulted page to the virtual address, whereas FOAM explicitly binds the process’s address to an image-file backed file descriptor to enable on-demand restore.

### 5.3 Safe Fallback

After updating the kernel, a system or an application may not run correctly for many different reasons. For example, an application may not run correctly due to the updated buggy file system [9] or a buggy system configuration [59]. In such cases, KUP’s key ability can be retrofitted to support safe fallback, i.e., by carrying out instant downgrade. To allow the OS and applications to recover from failure, KUP takes exactly the same steps, but with the previous kernel image.

In particular, before switching kernels, KUP loads the safe fallback kernel image in a reversed memory section. Then, if the failure occurs, KUP performs the instant downgrade using this safe fallback kernel image. Depending on where such a fault occurs, this downgrade can be triggered because of a kernel layer or an application layer. KUP supports both cases: (1) if a fault is detected at the kernel layer (i.e., a kernel panic), KUP switches to the previous kernel; (2) if a fault is detected at the application layer (i.e., an application restoration fails), KUP switches into the previous kernel with the help of the system management daemon. Note that policies to detect these update failures are orthogonal to KUP’s approach, as one can easily plugin any custom policy into KUP.

### 6 Implementation

We implemented our prototype of KUP on Linux v3.17 by using criu (v1.4) [22] for application C/R and used kexec() [31] for the in-place kernel switch. We modified various components of criu and implemented userspace binary patching module in python along with the pluggable kernel modules for PPP. The whole code consists of 3,550 lines in total (see Table 1).

**Table 1:** An implementation complexity (lines of code) of KUP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Lines of code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>criu / on-demand restore</td>
<td>810 lines of C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>criu / FOAM</td>
<td>950 lines of C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>criu / PPP</td>
<td>600 lines of C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUP systemd, init</td>
<td>1040 lines of Python/Bash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUP / others, kexec(), etc.</td>
<td>150 lines of C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,550 lines of code</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOAM.** Besides application C/R, KUP also relies on criu for achieving quiescence, which is obtained as soon as the kernel pulls the process out of the sleeping state. criu uses the ptrace [37] functionality to achieve this. This ensures that KUP can only begin the application checkpointing when the process is back in the userspace as the kernel notifies the KUP process. Moreover, KUP concen-
Table 2: Snippets of our analysis on minor updates spanning twelve months, in the Ubuntu distribution, using KUP and kpatch (refer Figure 1 for a full picture). Unlike kpatch failed to support 21 cases out of 23, KUP was able to support all 23 cases (checks under Updatable?). To understand why kpatch cannot support such updates, we analyzed each minor release to see (1) how many new static variables (S under Analysis column) are introduced, and (2) how many data structure layout (D under Analysis) changes are included. To be specific, we also included an example of such cases that kpatch cannot easily support, even with manually designed update payload.

Optimizing kexec(). Unlike dynamic hot-patching techniques that employ unstable in-place updates (see Figure 1), KUP seeks a robust way to replace the entire kernel, thus allowing a complete switching between two different kernel versions. Instead of relying on hard or soft reboot, we use kexec(), which is originally designed to debug a crashed kernel with a secondary kernel image in a post-mortem manner. kexec() is robust as it supports all minor updates of Ubuntu from May 2014 to July 2015, and is over 50% faster than soft reboot (see Table 3). kexec() acts as a minimal bootloader. It is responsible for resetting device states before booting into a new kernel.

We make two optimizations to the stock kexec() to reduce the booting time: (1) avoid polling of PCIe slots, if they are not used before switching the kernel; (2) bring CPUs lazily online during boot, since we observed that the synchronization among system services slows down the system’s boot.

7 Evaluation

We evaluate KUP by answering the following questions:

- How effectively can KUP apply patches compared to popular dynamic hot-patching techniques? (§7.1)
- How much downtime does KUP incur while running various types of applications during updates? (§7.2, §7.3)
- How effective is each technique in decreasing the system downtime during updates? (§7.4)
- How effective is KUP in a full software stack composed of multiple inter-related applications? (§7.5)

7.1 Dynamic Hot-patching vs. KUP

We compare KUP with kpatch (latest version—v0.2.2) to show its effectiveness when switching between various versions of Ubuntu kernel releases. Table 2 shows in-depth results on five specific patches out of 23 patch cases discussed in Figure 1. Overall, KUP is able to support all 23 updates, whereas kpatch fails to update 21 versions except two. It fails if any of the following happens in the patch: (1) build and diff failure for the kernel versions; (2)
We perform all of our experiments on a 4 core machine with 64 GB RAM.
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In this section, we evaluate KUP, the code, thereby resolving 139 bugs. Other updates alter more than 150 files and 1346 lines of code to fix one bug. All other updates modify 77 files and 31 lines of code to fix one bug. All other updates modify 6 files and 71 lines of code to fix 10 bugs, and the latter one involves many challenging problems in the domain of program analysis [17]. kpatch fails on 11 patches for this case.

The aforementioned causes can be related to the patch complexity, which we measure in terms of the number of files and lines of code changed (Patch complexity column) and the number of bugs addressed (#Bugs column). The kernel updates, 3.13.0-32 → 34 and 3.19.0-20 → 21, are the only two updates that kpatch can handle, as there are relatively few changes in the code: the former changes 6 files and 71 lines of code to fix 10 bugs, and the latter one modifies 77 files and 31 lines of code to fix one bug. All other updates alter more than 150 files and 1346 lines of code, thereby resolving 139 bugs.

7.2 Applying KUP with Running Applications

In this section, we evaluate KUP with various types of real applications and provide our analysis of the impact of KUP on their performance across a system update. KUP is able to handle all major updates from v3.17-rc6 to v4.1. We perform all of our experiments on a 4 core machine with 64 GB RAM.

Faster storage medium: RP-RAMFS. As discussed in §5, the backend storage medium can inhibit the end-to-end performance of KUP. Users can circumvent this by adopting a RAM-based file system (reboot-persistent RAM file system—RP-RAMFS [18]) that stores its data purely in RAM but makes it persistent across system reboots. Note that RP-RAMFS is complementary to any C/R, even though it can dramatically decrease the application downtime.

We use RP-RAMFS to show that users can use the emerging persistent memory [62] as a persistent-across reboot memory for the application C/R. However, RP-RAMFS has one fundamental limitation: the system needs enough free RAM to store the snapshot.

Targeted applications. While KUP can support most of applications (see §8 for limitations), we select four applications, namely memtester [10], memcached [42], mysql and the Linux kernel compilation (LKC), to show the effectiveness of KUP. memtester is a memory-write intensive application that is used for finding faults in RAM. memcached is an in-memory key/value store and we use memaslap as a client for load generation. memaslap runs on a different machine. mysql is a relational database. We chose memcached, memtester and 1inkbench to illustrate memory-heavy workloads, and LKC to represent multi-process and computation-heavy program with small working set size (around 25 MB).

Incremental checkpoint iterations. In regard to FOAM, KUP’s only tunable parameter is the number of iterations used for the incremental checkpoint. To see how the iteration count affects system behavior, we measure throughput, downtime, and amount of data written in the last iteration for memcached by varying the iteration count. Even for memory-heavy memcached, Figure 3 shows that there were no meaningful differences in both throughput (1-2%) and downtime since the incremental checkpoint quickly converges from the second iteration. This is because, unlike previous studies based on slow network-based incremental checkpointing mechanisms [12, 64], KUP uses fast local storage or memory for checkpointing, making iterations non-critical. For all other experiments in this paper, we set the iteration count to two.

Results. KUP can successfully update the Linux kernel from v4.0 to v4.1 while running the aforementioned programs. Figure 4 shows the exact downtime and the data checkpointed for various schemes of KUP. PPP outperforms all other techniques as it only saves the page frame numbers (corresponding to the actual pages’ physical address) rather than the actual page contents, thereby decreasing the checkpointed size by orders of magnitude. However, there is not much difference between PPP and FOAM for LKC, because of its smaller working set size.

FOAM efficiently supports both incremental checkpointing and on-demand restore. Because of the incremental checkpoint, only the data that gets checkpointed in the

![Figure 3: Throughput degradation, downtime and the data dumped in the last iteration measured for varying iterations during incremental checkpoint of memcached.](image)
last iteration contributes to the observable downtime. Evidently, due to KUP’s simple data structure used for image checkpointing, FOAM’s image size remains the same as that of the basic approach. Figure 4 shows negligible downtime for on-demand restore, thus proving the advantage of using on-demand restore for all three approaches: single checkpointed data (marked Ond), FOAM and PPP, against basic restore.

7.3 End-to-end Analysis of KUP’s Approach

In this section, we cover an end-to-end performance evaluation of a web service, memcached (approximately consuming 5.6 GB with 20% percent write operations), while updating the system’s kernel from v4.0 to v4.1. We use bwm-ng tool [76] to understand its downtime during update by end-users. Since memcached is a memory intensive service caching large amounts of data, where data loss is critical to performance after system update, it fits well for KUP’s purpose and effectively shows the impact of our techniques.

Effectiveness of techniques. Figure 5 exhibits the impact of KUP on bandwidth utilization by the client performing get/set operations on memcached server during updates. PPP shows the best performance (refer (i)) in terms of shortest downtime and least performance degradation (only 0.68% over the period of 300 seconds) after being restored. Since, PPP instantly shifts to `kexec()`, this can be beneficial to applications that are either time critical or that execute for shorter durations. Not only that, PPP is storage-independent thanks to its efficient memory mapping storage (see §7.4).

In terms of downtime, FOAM performs the same as PPP (refer (h) and (i)). This is because FOAM provides the best of both worlds by combining both state-of-the-art techniques and has least downtime compared to the individual techniques (d) and (h)). However, one disadvantage of FOAM is that it disrupts the bandwidth during incremental checkpoint (starts around 192 second in (h)), even though it keeps server alive.

The basic technique ((a) and (e)) suffers the most, whereas the incremental checkpoint ((b) and (f)) and on-demand restore ((c) and (g)) techniques perform almost the same, as both reduce their respective downtime in two different steps of OS update. Besides this, the on-demand restore helps the memcached server to instantly activate—the bandwidth utilization is non-zero instantly after the OS update (refer (c), (d), (g) and (h)). KUP can further leverage Halite’s prefetching approach [81] to improve performance during on-demand restore of memcached ((c)}
which we need to investigate further. In addition, the new
with various hardware configurations. Table 3 shows both
(b) and (f)) also decreases the downtime during when

Table 3: Kernel switch time in seconds for different hardware
configurations. We used a 1-way 4-core machine for evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Soft reboot</th>
<th>knecc() reboot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Default</td>
<td>Default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-way 4-core E5-1620/ 64 GB</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-way 8-core E3-1271/ 32 GB</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-way 16-core E5-2630/128 GB</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-way 80-core E7-8870/512 GB</td>
<td>247.5</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and (d)). Likewise, the incremental checkpoint (refer
(b) and (f)) also decreases the downtime during when
compared with the basic approach.

Downtime from kernel switch. Although the kernel
switch has no effect on application C/R, it adds significant
overhead as downtime. Furthermore, this downtime varies
with various hardware configurations. Table 3 shows both
of the soft and knecc() reboot times. The reboot time is
the time taken to boot into a new kernel from the previous
one. For machines with increasing core count, both of
the soft reboot time as well as the default knecc() time increase with increasing hardware complexity. On
further analysis, we found that most of the time is spent in
purgatory [31], which runs in between two kernels and
initializes hardware components such as PIC and VGA.
This holds true even for the bigger machines (>16 cores),
which we need to investigate further. In addition, the new
kernel also spends time initializing the system services
such as network services and filesystem mounting. We
reduce the system boot time (refer Table 3) at two places
during the new kernel boot: (1) by lazily bringing up
each core, which saves six seconds for the 80-core
machine, and (2) by skipping the polling of unused PCI slots,
thereby saving 8.5 seconds on the 16-core machine.

Safe fallback upon a failure. KUP inherently provides
safe fallback mechanism by using knecc(). To show its
effectiveness, we intentionally inject a fault in the restore
process right after the new kernel boots up. As soon as
KUP detects a fault, it initiates a downgrade to its previous
kernel image, which we stored at the initiation of the up-
date. Figure 6 shows the performance of memcached upon
such an event. As expected, the downtime of memcached
becomes approximately two times longer (five seconds)
than KUP’s update without an error. The safe fallback ap-
proach is only used once we are confident we can safely
restart the application on the older kernel. We further
test the KUP’s safe fallback approach with a buggy file
system [9] while running Linux kernel compile. Since
KUP could not resume compilation in the updated kernel,
it reverted to the previous version.

7.4 Micro-benchmarking FOAM and PPP
In this section, we discuss the impact of PPP and FOAM
for various storage media by performing two experiments.
This first of these is a microbenchmark-based evaluation
which measures the downtime when checkpointing a pro-
cess with varying working set size (up to 72 GB) with 50%
write (Figure 7). The micro-benchmark allocates a certain
amount of memory and endlessly dirties the pre-specified
part of the allocated memory. By varying the working
set size (WSS) from 1 GB to 72 GB on both SSD and
RP-RAMFS, we measure the downtime incurred by both
techniques. Figure 7 shows the effectiveness of using
PPP as it outperforms all the techniques by 9.5-98.3× for
SSD and 3.9-14.1× against RP-RAMFS by avoiding redundant
copies of process’s memory. KUP cannot rely on
RP-RAMFS as it fails to work beyond 56 GB and 128 GB is
the machine’s total memory size.

On the other hand, the second experiment measures
the downtime incurred while checkpointing and restarting
multiple memcached instances (one to eight) on SSD while
updating the OS with KUP (Figure 8). The experiment
illustrates that (1) KUP is an effective per-application
-based C/R mechanism that can checkpoint multiple ap-
plications in parallel and (2) PPP is the best approach
for updating an OS with multiple applications are run-
On the client side, the buffering stops after the update, which helps us in verifying the correctness of our system.

8 Discussion

In this section, we discuss the limitations of KUP’s approaches of using application C/R for instant kernel updates, and we discuss potential optimizations to further reduce the downtime imposed by the kernel switch.

Limitations. KUP inherits the limitations of criu for application C/R, such as limited support of compat mode of x86-64. This restricts the type of application that KUP can support. Furthermore, application C/R schemes find it difficult to restore the external resources. However, in the context of updating OSes, there are many opportunities to overcome these limitations. Because of the shorter time gap between checkpoint and restart in KUP, the host’s external interfaces can easily resolve such uncertainty by describing some forms of buffering mechanisms. For example, a TCP/IP state will be preserved for about 75 seconds (default in Linux [29]) until the reset packet is fired. As long as the effective downtime is reasonably small, KUP can optimistically restore the checkpointed applications correctly, as in memcached §7.3 and full software stack §7.5. Currently, there is an ongoing effort to reliably preserve the TCP/IP states across updates or migrations [16]. Besides this, the current implementation of KUP partially supports unix domain sockets and desktop applications. Another point is that the downtime due to KUP’s FOAM is still sensitive to the number of cache-to-disk writes. In the future, we would like to explore mechanisms that keep dirty pages across updates.

Using different kernel versions may affect the restoration process, thus adding another limitation to KUP usage. If the new kernel (or old kernel on downgrade) hampers its backward (or forward, respectively) compatibility (e.g., dropping a support of a certain system call, or even changing the interface of certain system calls), the restoration might fail, but we believe this is rare (or unlikely) in practice, as surveyed in §7.1. If the new kernels handle the application-specific states differently, this may result in latent application corruption. However, we are yet
to encounter such unexpected behavior. Another limitation can be that the newer kernel looses the old kernel data. This holds true only for applications that KUP do not checkpoint. Besides that, the kernel’s memory state is independent of the checkpointed applications, thereby making them worthless for the checkpoint.

Suitable applications. We believe that KUP is suitable for all types of applications. By using PPP approach, KUP can update any type of application ranging from memory-intensive to I/O-intensive workloads. However, if there is any memory management modification or a page corruption occurs after the update, then the safe fallback technique will also fail. On the other hand, FOAM technique is not a suitable candidate for write-intensive workloads that frequently allocate and free memory pages. However, it provides the guarantee of safely falling back to the previous kernel with more confidence as the process’ data is persisted on the disk. Therefore, the system administrators should choose wisely, depending on the criticality of the application and its service.

Optimizing reboot. The major source of downtime in KUP is the in-place kernel switch, depending on kexec(); varying from 2.4 sec to 25.9 sec based on the underlying hardware. Although we applied a few basic optimizations to kexec() (§6), we can further improve it by adopting a number of promising techniques demonstrated by previous research. For example, Nooks [74] develops shadow drivers techniques that preserve its internal states upon failure, and Live Update [75] uses a similar technique to share its internal state across device driver pages. Another promising direction is to carry over device driver states across reboot as there will be no hardware changes in the middle of system updates.

9 Conclusion

KUP is a simple yet robust update mechanism that instantly updates a running kernel across major kernel versions. KUP checkpoints user applications, then replaces the existing kernel with an updated kernel (any kernel version), and finally restores the checkpointed applications thereafter. KUP achieves its goal of instant kernel update by efficiently merging both checkpoint and restore techniques with the help of an optimized checkpoint format. Moreover, we come up with a complementary memory persistence mechanism that solves the inherent problem of userspace C/R and further improves KUP’s performance while catering to disk-less systems. We believe that KUP is the first work that realizes swift kernel updates without modifying any kernel source. This makes KUP robust enough to be used in practice, thus allowing users to enjoy instant system updates for security patches, bug fixes and performance improvements with minimal disruption.
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